

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,469	-	03/31/2004	Keiji Kashima	A-474	8406
802	7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
		WALTERS	VU, PHU		
	O. BOX 2786 DRTLAND, OR 97208-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2871	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1:A	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,469	KASHIMA, KEIJI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Phu Vu	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	- action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the cor	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi et. al US Patent No. 6885421 in view of Uchiyama et al. US Patent No. 6638582.

Regarding claim 1 and 2, Miyachi discloses a laminated retardation layer by lamination of a positive index anisotropy with an optic axis in a normal direction to a layer plane and a retardation layer negative index anisotropy and an optical axis in a normal direction (coating layer) (see column 7 lines 42-55). Miyachi does not explicitly teach the positive index anisotrophy material to have inverse chromatic dispersion however applicant admits that a polycarbonate film having a fluorene skeleton has inverse chromatic dispersion. Uchiyama discloses a stretched polycarbonate polymer films with fluorene skeletons with excellent transparency, heat resistance, and productivity, which by applicant's admission will have inverse chromatic dispersion. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polycarbonate film with fluorene skeleton to provide excellent transparency and heat resistance.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 1 and 2, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 11, Miyachi discloses a vertical alignment mode liquid crystal layer and two sheet polarizers on both sides thereof, wherein a laminated retarder of claim 1 is interposed between one of the sheet polarizers and the liquid crystal cell (see cover fig).

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claims 1 and 2, Uchimaya discloses the method of making a retardation film by stretching a polymer films and stacking of the films (see column 2 lines 28-40).

Claim 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama in view of Ishii US Patent No 5134507.

Regarding claim 3, Miyachi discloses all the limitations of claim 3 except a stretched cellulose acetate film as the polymer film having inverse chromatic dispersion. Ishii discloses an optically compensating plate comprised of a cellulose acetate film to achieve a high contrast ratio (see ). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to use a cellulose acetate film to achieve a high contrast ratio.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to 3, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claim 3, Uchimaya discloses the method of making a retardation film by stretching a polymer films and stacking of the films (see column 2 lines 28-40).

Claims 4 - 6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama (A) US Patent No. 6638582 in view of Uchiyama (B) US Patent No. 6800697 and .

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Uchiyama B discloses aromatic polyester polymers such as polycarbonates provide good heat resistance, film forming properties and optical characteristics (see ). Uchiyama A, discloses mixture of retardation films having different chromatic dispersions to form a film having inverse chromatic dispersion which contribute to enhanced image quality (see fig. 9 shows a film having inverse chromatic dispersion and column 3 lines 45-51). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a mixture of polyester polymers to control wavelength dispersion thus contributing to enhanced image quality.

Regarding claim 5, Uchiyama A discloses a polymer comprising a copolymer containing monomer units capable of yielding polymers having different chromatic dispersions and stretching said polymer to form a polymer with inverse chromatic dispersion.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to 4 and 5, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claims 4 and 5, Uchimaya A discloses the method of making a retardation film by stretching a polymer films and stacking of the films (see column 2 lines 28-40).

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama in view of Matsuoka et al. US Patent No. 6444280.

Regarding claim 7, Miyachi and Uchiyama disclose all the limitations of claim 7 except a polymerizable chiral nematic used as the coating layer in a retardation film. Matsuoka discloses a retardation film comprising polymerizable chiral nematic liquid crystal to improve image quality and viewing angle characteristics (see abstract). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymerizable chiral nematic liquid crystal in a retarder to improve image quality and viewing characteristics.

Regarding claim 8, Miyachi and Uchiyama disclose all the limitations of claim 8 except a polymerizable discotic liquid crystal of homeotropic orientation layer in a coating layer of the retarder. Matsuoka discloses polymerizable discotic liquid crystal having a homeotropic orientation (see column 1 line 50 – column 2 line 9) to achieve optical compensation characteristics due to a large birefringence. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymerizable discotic liquid crystal of homeotropic alignment to achieve retardation characteristics due to a large birefringence.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 7 and 8, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claims 7 and 8, Uchimaya discloses the method of making a retardation film by stretching a polymer films and stacking of the films (see column 2 lines 28-40).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871 Page 7

DUNGT.NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER